

# Root and jailbreak detection bypass.

Root detection (Android) and jailbreak detection (iOS) are checks an app performs to decide whether it is running on a device where the platform's security model has been removed. Many apps refuse to run, or disable features, on such devices. A penetration tester needs a rooted or jailbroken device to instrument the app, so bypassing the detection is a routine first step in an engagement. Because the check runs on the device the attacker controls, it can always be defeated. It is a defence-in-depth measure that raises the cost of an attack, not a security boundary.

## HOW IT WORKS

### 01 How does the detection work?

Root and jailbreak detection looks for the tell-tale artefacts of a compromised device:

- The presence of files, binaries, or apps associated with rooting or jailbreaking.
- Directories and permissions that should not be writable on a normal device.
- The ability to run commands that a normal app should not be able to run.
- Signs that the app itself is being instrumented or debugged.

The app runs these checks and makes a decision based on the result. The decision is a single point: somewhere in the code, a function effectively returns 'this device is rooted: true or false'.

### 02 How is the detection bypassed?

Because the check is code running on a device the tester controls, it can be defeated. The common approaches:

- Hook the check. Using an instrumentation toolkit like Frida, the tester hooks the detection function and forces it to return 'not rooted', regardless of the real state. This is the most common method and takes minutes for a standard implementation.
- Hide the artefacts. Tools exist that hide the signs of rooting or jailbreaking from apps that look for them.
- Repackage the app. The tester removes the detection logic from the binary, then repackages and reinstalls.

## SOURCES

- [1] OWASP MASVS Resilience requirements
- [2] OWASP MASTG Resilience Testing
- [3] OWASP Mobile Top 10

A more sophisticated app spreads the detection across many checks, runs them at unpredictable times, and combines them with anti-instrumentation. This raises the effort, but a tester with a controlled device and time gets past it. There is no implementation that cannot be bypassed, because the attacker owns the environment the check runs in.

### 03 What should developers do?

Three takeaways.

First, for high-value apps, implement root/jailbreak detection as defence in depth. It genuinely reduces opportunistic risk on real users' compromised devices, which is worthwhile for payment and anti-fraud use cases. Use a well-maintained implementation and expect to update it.

Second, do not rely on it as a security boundary. Any security decision that matters must be enforced on the server, because the client check can be removed. If your app's safety depends on it running only on uncompromised devices, your design has a gap.

Third, combine it with other resilience controls (anti-tamper, anti-instrumentation, obfuscation) so the bypass costs more effort. None of these are absolute, but together they raise the bar for the opportunistic attacker, which is the realistic threat this category addresses.

**See how your resilience controls hold up.**

[securelayer7.net/learn/mobile-security/root-jailbreak-detection-bypass](https://securelayer7.net/learn/mobile-security/root-jailbreak-detection-bypass)

[Open online](https://securelayer7.net/learn/mobile-security/root-jailbreak-detection-bypass)